

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10

11                   **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
12                   **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

13  
14 CHRISTOPHER JULIAN, PAUL FISKRATTI,  
15 MARK PACANA, and WAYNE LEWALD,  
16 individually and on behalf of all others similarly  
situated,

Case No.: 3:20-CV-02857-EMC

**[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL  
ORDER AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

17                   Plaintiffs,

18                   v.

19 TTE TECHNOLOGY, INC., dba TCL NORTH  
20 AMERICA,

21                   Defendant.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

The Court having held a Final Approval Hearing on January 19, 2023, notice of the Final Approval Hearing having been duly given in accordance with this Court's Order Certifying Provisional Settlement Class, Preliminarily Approving Class Action Settlement, and Providing for Notice to the Settlement Class ("Preliminary Approval Order"), and having considered all matters submitted to it at the Final Approval Hearing and otherwise, and finding no just reason for delay in entry of this Final Approval Order and Order of Dismissal, and good cause appearing therefore,

It is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

1. The Settlement Agreement dated June 15, 2022, including exhibits (the "Agreement"), and the definition of the words and terms contained therein, are incorporated by reference in this Order.

2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over the Parties, including all members of the following Settlement Class certified for settlement purposes only in this Court's Preliminary Approval Order:

All individuals who, from April 24, 2016 to December 31, 2021, purchased a new TCL Television marketed as having a "Hz" rating twice as high as its native panel refresh rate (Hz) in the State of California.

Excluded from the Settlement Class are all persons who validly opt out of the Settlement in a timely manner; governmental entities; counsel of record (and their respective law firms) for the Parties; Defendant and any of its parents, affiliates, subsidiaries, independent service providers and all of their respective employees, officers, and directors; the presiding judge in the Action or any judicial officer presiding over the matter, and all of their immediate families and judicial staff; and any natural person or entity that entered into a release with Defendant prior to the Effective Date concerning the Televisions.

3. The Settlement Administrator determined that no persons timely and validly opted out of the Settlement and, thus, are excluded from the Settlement Class. *See Declaration of Brian Devery, attached to Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval as "**Exhibit 1**."*

1       4. The Court hereby finds that the Agreement is the product of arm's-length negotiations  
 2 among and between Plaintiffs, Class Counsel, and Defendant.

3       5. This Court now gives final approval to the Agreement, and finds that the Agreement is  
 4 fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class. The settlement  
 5 consideration provided under the Agreement constitutes fair value given in exchange for the release of  
 6 the Released Claims against the Discharged Parties. The Court finds that the consideration to be paid  
 7 to Settlement Class Members is reasonable, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members,  
 8 considering the total value of their claims compared to (i) the disputed factual and legal circumstances  
 9 of the Action, (ii) defenses asserted in the Action, and (iii) the potential risks and likelihood of success  
 10 of pursuing litigation on the merits. The complex legal and factual posture of this case, the amount of  
 11 discovery completed, and the fact that the Settlement is the result of arm's-length negotiations between  
 12 the Parties all support this finding. The Court finds that these facts, in addition to the Court's  
 13 observations throughout the litigation, demonstrate that there was no collusion present in the reaching  
 14 of the Agreement, implicit or otherwise.

15      6. The Court has considered the factors relevant to class action settlement approval,  
 16 including: (1) the strength of the plaintiffs' case; (2) the risk, expense, complexity, and likely duration  
 17 of further litigation; (3) the risk of maintaining class action status throughout the trial; (4) the amount  
 18 offered in settlement; (5) the extent of discovery completed and the stage of the proceedings; (6) the  
 19 experience and views of counsel; (7) the presence of a governmental participant; and (8) the reaction  
 20 of the class members to the proposed settlement. *In re Online DVD-Rental Antitrust Litig.*, 779 F.3d  
 21 934, 944 (9th Cir. 2015).

22      7. The Court finds that the requirements of Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil  
 23 Procedure have been satisfied for certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only  
 24 because: Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;  
 25 there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class; the claims and defenses of the  
 26 Class Representative are typical of the claims and defenses of the Settlement Class he represents; the  
 27 Class Representative has fairly and adequately protected the interests of the Settlement Class with  
 28 regard to the claims of the Settlement Class he represents; common questions of law and fact

1 predominate over questions affecting only individual Settlement Class Members, rendering the  
2 Settlement Class sufficiently cohesive to warrant a class settlement; and the certification of the  
3 Settlement Class is superior to individual litigation and/or settlement as a method for the fair and  
4 efficient resolution of this matter.

5       8.     The Court hereby finds and concludes that Class Notice was disseminated to members  
6 of the Settlement Class in accordance with the terms set forth in Section IV of the Agreement and  
7 complied with this Court's Preliminary Approval Order.

8       9.     The Court further finds and concludes that the Class Notice and Claims submission  
9 procedures set forth in Sections III through IV of the Settlement Agreement and further stated in the  
10 Declaration of Jonathan King, fully satisfy Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the  
11 requirements of due process, were the best notice practicable under the circumstances, provided notice  
12 to members of the Settlement Class who could be identified through reasonable effort and support the  
13 Court's exercise of jurisdiction over the Settlement Class as contemplated in the Settlement and this  
14 Order.

15      10.    The Court finds that the Parties properly and timely notified the appropriate  
16 government officials of the Settlement Agreement, pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005  
17 ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1715.

18      11.    Accordingly, the Agreement is hereby finally approved in all respects, and the Court  
19 certifies the above-referenced Settlement Class.

20      12.    The Parties are hereby directed to further implement the Agreement according to its  
21 terms and provisions, but may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any provisions of the  
22 Agreement without further order from the Court. The Agreement is hereby incorporated into this Final  
23 Approval Order and Order of Dismissal in full and shall have the full force of an Order of this Court.

24      13.    The Court reserves jurisdiction over all matters arising out of the Agreement.

25      14.    Plaintiff and the Settlement Class hereby fully release and discharge Defendant and all  
26 its present and former parent companies, subsidiaries, shareholders, officers, directors, employees,  
27 agents, servants, registered representatives, affiliates, successors, personal representatives, heirs and  
28 assigns, retailers, suppliers, distributors, endorsers, consultants, and any and all other entities or

1 persons upstream and downstream in the production/distribution channels (together, the “Discharged  
 2 Parties”) from all claims, demands, actions, and causes of action of any kind or nature whatsoever,  
 3 whether at law or equity, arising under federal, state, or local law, that Plaintiff or Settlement Class  
 4 Members ever had, now have, or may have against the Discharged Parties in any other court, tribunal,  
 5 arbitration panel, commission, or agency, or before any governmental and/or administrative body, or  
 6 any other adjudicatory body, on the basis of, connected with, or arising from the Discharged Parties’  
 7 representations, advertising, marketing and/or sales of the Televisions during the Class Period relating  
 8 in any way to the refresh rate and/or effective refresh rate of the Televisions, and the claims alleged in  
 9 the operative complaint in the Action. This is notwithstanding that Plaintiff and the Settlement Class  
 10 acknowledge that they may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from those that they now  
 11 know or believe to be true concerning the subject matter of the Action and/or the Released Claims  
 12 herein. The Released Claims shall include, but are not limited to, all claims that have or could have  
 13 been asserted by any or on behalf of any Settlement Class Member in this Action and that are based on  
 14 the same factual predicate as the Action.

15       15. In addition, any rights of the Plaintiff to the protections afforded under Section 1542 of  
 16 the California Civil Code and/or any other similar, comparable or equivalent laws, are terminated.

17       16. Each and every Settlement Class Member, and any person actually or purportedly  
 18 acting on behalf of any Settlement Class Member(s), is hereby permanently barred and enjoined from  
 19 commencing, instituting, continuing, pursuing, maintaining, prosecuting or enforcing any Released  
 20 Claims (including, without limitation, in any individual, class or putative class, representative or other  
 21 action or proceeding), directly or indirectly, in any judicial, administrative, arbitral, or other forum,  
 22 against the Discharged Parties. This permanent bar and injunction is necessary to protect and  
 23 effectuate the Agreement, this Final Approval Order and Order of Dismissal, and this Court’s  
 24 authority to effectuate the Agreement, and is ordered in aid of this Court’s jurisdiction and to protect  
 25 its judgments.

26       17. The Agreement (including, without limitation, its exhibits), and any and all  
 27 negotiations, documents and discussions associated with it, shall not be deemed or construed to be an  
 28 admission or evidence of any violation of any statute, law, rule, regulation or principle of common law

1 or equity, of any liability or wrongdoing, by Defendant, or of the truth of any of the claims asserted by  
 2 Plaintiffs in the Action, and evidence relating to the Agreement shall not be discoverable or used,  
 3 directly or indirectly, in any way, whether in the Action or in any other action or proceeding, except  
 4 for purposes of enforcing the terms and conditions of the Agreement, the Preliminary Approval Order  
 5 and/or this Order.

6       18. If for any reason the Agreement terminates or Final Approval does not occur, then  
 7 certification of the Settlement Class shall be deemed vacated. In such an event, the certification of the  
 8 Settlement Class for settlement purposes shall not be considered as a factor in connection with any  
 9 subsequent class certification issues, and the Parties shall return to the status quo ante in the Action,  
 10 without prejudice to the right of any of the Parties to assert any right or position that could have been  
 11 asserted if the Settlement had never been reached or proposed to the Court.

12       19. In the event that any provision of the Agreement or this Final Approval Order and  
 13 Order of Dismissal is asserted by Defendant as a defense in whole or in part to any cause of action, or  
 14 otherwise asserted (including, without limitation, as a basis for a stay) in any other suit, action, or  
 15 proceeding brought by a Settlement Class Member or any person actually or purportedly acting on  
 16 behalf of any Settlement Class Member(s), that suit, action or other proceeding shall be immediately  
 17 stayed and enjoined until this Court or the court or tribunal in which the claim is pending has  
 18 determined any issues related to such defense or assertion. Solely for purposes of such suit, action, or  
 19 other proceeding, to the fullest extent they may effectively do so under applicable law, the Parties  
 20 irrevocably waive and agree not to assert, by way of motion, as a defense or otherwise, any claim or  
 21 objection that they are not subject to the jurisdiction of the Court, or that the Court is, in any way, an  
 22 improper venue or an inconvenient forum. These provisions are necessary to protect the Agreement,  
 23 this Order and this Court's authority to effectuate the Agreement, and are ordered in aid of this Court's  
 24 jurisdiction and to protect its judgment.

25       20. The Court approves Class Counsel's application for \$725,000 in attorneys' fees and for  
 26 \$148,085 in costs.

27       21. The Court approves a service award to Class Representative Paul Fiskratti in the  
 28 amount of \$2,500.00.

22. No later than 30 calendar days after the Settlement Awards are distributed to the Settlement Class Members who submitted timely and valid Claim Forms, Plaintiffs shall file a Post-Distribution Accounting, which includes, to the extent possible, the information required under Northern District of California Procedural Guidance for Class Action Settlements, and post the same on the Settlement Website. This Final Order and Order of Dismissal, and any Final Judgment to be entered hereon, shall also be posted on the Settlement Website.

23. Without affecting the finality of this Final Approval Order and Order of Dismissal, or any Final Judgment to be entered hereon, the Court shall retain jurisdiction over all matters relating to administration, consummation, enforcement, and interpretation of the Agreement.

24. The Court overrules any and all objections to the Settlement submitted by Settlement Class Members.

25. Plaintiffs Wayne Lewald's and Mark Pacana's claims are dismissed without prejudice and without costs to any party except as expressly provided for in the Agreement.

26. This Court hereby otherwise dismisses the Action with prejudice, without costs to any party, except as expressly provided for herein and in the Agreement.

## **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED:

The Honorable Edward M. Chen  
United States District Judge